

# Community Health Profile 2009

Central California Fresno, Bakersfield, Santa Barbara



# Community Health Profile

#### Note to Readers

For a complete list of urban Indian health organizations, and links to their health profiles, please visit: www.uihi.org

Counties served by the Central California Service Area:

- Fresno
- Kern
- Madera
- San Luis Obispo
- Santa Barbara
- Tulare
- Ventura


This is one of thirty four community health profiles produced by the Urban Indian Health Institute to examine the health of American Indians and Alaska Natives (Al/AN) living in select urban counties. These counties are served by the network of title V urban Indian health organizations across the country.

This health profile provides an overview of the health status of the Al/AN population living in the service area of "Central California" including: Fresno, Bakersfield, and Santa Barbara. We have combined these service areas so that we can present data specific to this region. This profile examines preventable causes of illness, death, access to care, and burden of disease for this urban community. While this report covers key health indicators, not every health concern affecting the Al/AN community is examined.

The health indicators covered provide data across two comparison groups: Al/AN and the general population (all race). In the instance where local data are unavailable, state or national data are presented.

For more information please contact the Urban Indian Health Institute
Seattle Indian Health Board
PO Box 3364
Seattle,WA 98114
(206) 812-3030
Website: www.uihi.org
e-mail: info@uihi.org

Figure I. Central CA



Source: 2000 US Census.

Note: Counties served by the combined Central CA service area are highlighted in red.

### Notes on Data Use and Limitations

#### **General Limitations:**

Racial misclassification is defined as incorrect coding of an individual's race or ethnicity in public records. Racial misclassification of Al/AN on surveillance data is well documented, 1,2 complicating epidemiologic assessments. For example, the monitoring of Al/AN health status and evaluation of health outcomes are made more difficult by racial misclassification, which often results in a gross underestimate of the true disease burden. Additionally, racial misclassification distorts overall population counts and can negatively impact equitable resource allocation. Because of this consistently documented research, we assume that many of the health disparities presented in this community health profile using vital records data are larger than reported.

Data presented are specific to the county(s) in this urban Indian health organization service area. However, in some instances, county level data are aggregated with other counties because the number of events (e.g., births, deaths, respondents) are too small to report.

#### **Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System (BRFSS):**

While the BRFSS is the world's largest on-going telephone survey, and includes enough Al/AN respondents at the national level for meaningful analysis, it has several limitations. First, as a telephone survey, only households with phone service are included in this survey, which eliminates certain segments of the population that may be more at risk of poor health outcomes. Second, phone surveys introduce the possibility of bias. There may be something inherently different about people who agree to participate in the phone survey compared to those who do not. Because we have no information about individuals who do not participate in the survey, we cannot assess the degree to which there is a difference in health and behaviors between these groups. Finally, individuals may have difficulty recalling information accurately or may choose not to answer questions truthfully. For more information about the BRFSS, please visit: http://www.cdc.gov/BRFSS.

#### **Census Data:**

Readers of this community health profile will note the use of 2000 U.S. Census data. While this data is currently the only data available, it is almost 10 years old at the time of this report's publication. As such, it does not reflect the changes in population count and poverty that are predicted.

#### **Vital Records:**

Collection methods for prenatal care and maternal smoking collected on birth certificates have recently changed. In order to address this, we present data pre-certificate change (1998-2002). To protect individual confidentiality, some indicators (e.g., SIDS) are presented with more years (1995-2004) so that reporting on this important indicator is possible.

#### **Notes on Race Classification:**

Data from the 2000 Census allow for multiple race categories, and mixed racial background reporting. Census data presented in this profile include people reporting Al/AN heritage alone and Al/AN heritage in combination with another race. The terms "all race" and "general population" are used interchangeably.

For the BRFSS data, respondents are asked two questions regarding race:

- 1. Which one or more of the following would you say is your race?
- 2. Which one of these groups would you say best represents your race?


The BRFSS data presented in this community health profile reports on individuals who selected Al/AN as "the group that best represents your race."

### Census Overview

The combined Central California service area is home to a diverse group of Al/AN people. According to the 2000 Census, over **79,000**\* residents reported that they are of Al/AN heritage.

### Race/Ethnicity

Figure 2. Total Population, Central CA Service Area



Source: 2000 US Census.

Note 1: Legend corresponds clockwise on the pie graph starting from

the largest population group, White.

Note 2: This figure refers to those who identify themselves as Al/AN alone.

### **Educational Attainment**

Al/AN suffer disparities in educational attainment. In the combined service area, 36.1% of Al/AN residents do not have a high school degree compared with 27.1% of the general population.

Figure 5. Educational Attainment, 25 and older

Highest Level of Education	All Race	AI/AN
No High School Diploma/GED	27.1%	36.1%
High School Diploma/GED	21.7%	24.0%
Some College	30.8%	30.8%
BA/MA/PhD Degree	20.4%	9.1%

Source: 2000 US Census.

### **Population**

Figure 3. Central CA Service Area

Total population: 3,351,407 Al/AN population: 79,365

Source: 2000 US Census.

# Age

The Al/AN population in the combined service area is younger than the population overall.

Figure 4. Age Distribution


Age	All Race	AI/AN
0-17 yrs	29.7%	33.9%
18-24 yrs	10.8%	11.6%
25-44 yrs	29.1%	30.7%
45-64 yrs	19.8%	18.1%
65+ yrs	10.6%	5.6%

Source: 2000 US Census.

### Poverty

In the combined service area, 27% of AI/AN residents are living in poverty - higher than the general population (17.7%).

Figure 6. Poverty Status



Source: 2000 US Census.

<sup>\*</sup>This number includes AI/AN alone and in combination with another race

# Mortality Overview

### Top Causes of Mortality

Similar to the general population, heart disease and cancer are the two most common causes of death among AI/AN residents in the combined service area.

Racial miscoding of Al/AN on vital records has been well documented (see limitations section), therefore many of the results presented in Figure 7 may be unrealistically low.

Figure 7. Al/AN Top Cause Mortality, 2001-2005

Top Causes of Death	Rate (Per 100,000)
I. Heart Disease	75.2
2. Cancer	51.9
3. Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease	20.6
4. Cerebrovascular Disease	19.0
5. Unintentional injury	17.1

Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.

### **Cancer Mortality**

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths among Al/AN living in the combined service area. Many factors contribute to the risk of developing lung cancer including: smoking and being around others who smoke, exposure to radon gas or asbestos, and a family history of lung cancer.<sup>4</sup>

Figure 8. Al/AN Cancer Mortality, 2001-2005

Top Causes of Cancer Mortality	Rate (Per 100,000)
I. Lung	15.8
2. Prostate	10.5
3. Breast	5.7
4. Colorectal	5.2

Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.


## Disparities in Mortality

Figure 9 shows select mortality disparities among the Al/AN population living in the combined service area.

Among Al/AN residents, the alcohol induced mortality rate is 10.0/100,000, higher than the general population (9.6/100,000)

Among Al/AN residents, the chronic liver disease and cirrhosis mortality rate is 14.3/100,000, higher than the general population (13.3/100,000)

Figure 9. Select Mortality Disparities, 2001-2005



All Race Al/AN

Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.

# Reported Health and Health-Influencing Behaviors


### Self-Reported Health Status

Self-reported health status captures symptoms of disease in addition to diagnosed illness. Its use broadens the scope of information gathered to include perceptions of health, treatment adherence, and resources available within the environment. <sup>5</sup>

For the Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System (BRFSS), respondents are asked to rate their own health using one of the following options: "Excellent", "Very Good", "Good", "Fair" or "Poor".

Among the Al/AN population in the combined service area, 37.9% rated their own health as fair or poor - higher than the general population at 19.5%.

Figure 10. Self-Reported Health Status, 2004-2008



Source: CDC, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.


### Tobacco Use

Over 22% of AI/AN residents in the combined service area report that they are current smokers compared with 15.5% of the general population.

The negative health effects of smoking are well documented and include an increased risk of lung cancer and stroke. <sup>6</sup>

For information on how your urban Indian health organization can help reduce rates of smoking in your service area, contact your local health department.

Figure 11. Current Smoker, 2004-2008



Source: CDC, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

**Disease Prevention Note:** No matter how long one has been smoking, quitting is the most important step to take in order to reduce the risk of developing cancer and lung disease. According to the American Cancer Society, people who stop smoking before age 50 cut their risk of dying in the next 15 years in half compared with those who keep smoking.<sup>7</sup>


# Reported Health and Health-Influencing Behaviors (cont'd)

### Obesity

The prevalence of obesity in Al/AN communities points to an urgent need for culturally appropriate prevention programs and increased access to healthy foods. Among Al/AN living in the combined service area, 9.2% report they are obese compared with 8.6% of the general population.

The lack of fitness and physical activity combined with overconsumption of unhealthy foods likely drive the obesity epidemic. Exercising and eating healthy are two ways to prevent obesity.

Figure 12. Obesity (BMI≥30), 2004-2008




Source: CDC, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

### **Diabetes**

Nationally, Al/AN suffer a disproportionate burden of diabetes. Among Al/AN living in the combined service area, 9.2% have been told by a doctor that they have diabetes compared to 8.6% of the general population. Given the limitations of the survey method the magnitude of the diabetes burden among Al/AN reported through BRFSS is likely an underestimate.

With diagnosis of diabetes comes the additional cost of managing the disease. According to the American Diabetes Association, people with diabetes, on average, have medical expenditures that are 2.3 times higher than those without diabetes.

Figure 13. Diagnosed with Diabetes, 2004-2008



Source: CDC, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

**Disease Prevention Note:** There is a strong relationship between obesity and diabetes, and research suggests weight loss can help prevent the onset of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes is associated with a number of life threatening conditions including heart disease, stroke, high blood pressure and kidney disease. If not properly managed, diabetes can result in amputations, blindness and premature death. 8


### Barriers to Care

### Could Not See Doctor Because of Cost

Affordable health care is an essential component of health promotion and disease prevention. One of the Healthy People 2010 (HP 2010) goals is to reduce the proportion of families that experience difficulties or delays in obtaining health care or do not receive needed care for one or more family members.

The HP 2010 target is 7%. Among Al/AN living in the combined service area, 19.9% report they were unable to see a doctor in the past year because of cost issues.

Figure 14. Could Not See a Doctor Because of Cost, 2004-2008




Source: CDC, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

### Had No Insurance in Past 12 Months

Over 21% of Al/AN living in the combined service area report not having health care coverage (including federal programs) in the past year compared to 20.4% of the general population. Given the small sample size of Al/AN respondents to the BRFSS, this is likely an underestimate of the true burden of uninsured.

Health service research has documented serious health and financial consequences associated with being uninsured. Those without adequate health coverage have 55% fewer interactions with health care providers. The uninsured receive less preventive care, are typically diagnosed with more advanced disease status, and have higher mortality rates compared to the insured. <sup>9</sup>

Figure 15. No Health Insurance, 2004-2008



Source: CDC, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

**Disease Prevention Note:** Access to health care is an essential component of preventing illness and treating disease. The network of title V urban Indian health organizations make outpatient health services accessible to urban Indians, either directly or by referral. Despite increasing numbers of Al/AN in census defined urban areas, funding for urban Indian health has remained at approximately 1% of Indian Health Services' annual appropriations since 1979. <sup>10</sup>

### The Health of Mothers and Children

### **Smoking During Pregnancy**

In the network of urban Indian health organizations, I4.7% of AI/AN women report smoking during pregnancy compared to 7.9% of the general population.\*

Smoking during pregnancy has been identified as the most important potentially preventable cause of low birth-weight in the United States. According to the CDC, babies born to women who smoke during pregnancy are about 30% more likely to be born prematurely. <sup>11</sup>

### Births to Teen Mothers

In this service area, 5.9% of Al/AN births are to teen mothers compared with 4.5% in the general population.

Teen births can carry additional health risks for the mother and the baby, including premature birth and low birth weight. <sup>12</sup>


Accessing prenatal resources and navigating the health care system is difficult enough for most new mothers, but the risk of inadequate prenatal care for young mothers is even greater. <sup>12</sup>

#### Late or No Prenatal Care

Late prenatal care is defined as care received at the 7<sup>th</sup> month of pregnancy or later. Among Al/ AN women in the combined service area, 4.8% are receiving late or no prenatal care compared with 3.0% of the general population.


Comprehensive prenatal care can promote healthy pregnancies. Women who receive early prenatal care can detect and manage preexisting conditions and receive health behavior advice, reducing the risk of adverse birth outcomes. <sup>13</sup>

Figure 16. Smoking During Pregnancy, 1998-2002\*




Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.

Figure 17. Births to Teen Mothers, 2001-2005



Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.

Figure 18. Late or No Prenatal Care, 1998-2002\*



Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.

<sup>\*</sup> National data substituted for local data, see limitations section

<sup>\*</sup> See limitations section for use of data 1998-2002

<sup>\*</sup> See limitations section for use of data 1998-2002


# The Health of Mothers and Children (cont'd)

### All Cause Infant Mortality

The infant mortality rate is defined as the number of babies less than one year of age that die per 1,000 live births.

Among AI/AN residents living in the combined service area, the rate of infant deaths is 9.3 out of every 1,000 live births - higher than the general population at 6.3/1,000.

Figure 19. All Cause Infant Mortality, 2000-2004



Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.


### SIDS

In the combined service area, the rate of AI/AN infant deaths due to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) is 1.5 out of every 1,000 live births.

Little is known about the causes of SIDS, or why the AI/AN community has a higher prevalence of SIDS related deaths.

Parents can reduce the risk to their baby by always placing the baby on his/her back to sleep, placing the baby on a firm sleep surface, and avoid letting the baby overheat during sleep.14

Figure 20. Infant Mortality Due to SIDS, 1995-2004



Source: US Centers for Health Statistics.

Funding for this report was provided by the Indian Health Service Division of Epidemiology and Disease Prevention.

The UIHI would like to thank the National Center for Minority Health and Health Disparities, the staff at the urban Indian health organizations for their input, and acknowledge the excellent work they do daily on behalf of their communities.

For questions or comments please contact: Urban Indian Health Institute Seattle Indian Health Board info@uihi.org

Phone: 206-812-3030

www.uihi.org

### References:

- Thoroughman DA, Frederickson D, Cameron HD, Shelby LK, Cheek JE. Racial misclassification of American Indians in Oklahoma State surveillance data for sexually transmitted diseases. Am J Epidemiol. 2002 Jun 15;155(12):1137-41.
- Bertolli J, Lee LM, Sullivan PS; Al/AN Race /Ethnicity Data Validation Workgroup. Racial misidentification of American Indians/Alaska Natives in the HIV/AIDS Reporting Systems of five states and one urban health jurisdiction, U.S., 1984-2002. Public Health Rep. 2007 May-Jun; 122(3):382-92.
- Rhoades DA. Racial misclassification and disparities in cardiovascular disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives. Circulation. Journal of the American Health Association. 2005 Mar 15;111(10):1250-6.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Lung Cancer Basics, Information, and Risk Factors. www.cdc.gov/cancer/lung/basic info/risk factors.htm [accessed 2009 June 14].
- Idler, EL., Benyamini, Y. Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community studies. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 1997 Mar; 38(1): 21-37.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Annual Smoking-Attributable Mortality, Years of Potential Life Lost, and Economic Costs—United States, 1995–1999. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report [serial online]. 2002;51(14):300–303 [accessed 2009 June 20].
- American Cancer Society: Guide to Quitting Smoking. http://www.cancer.org/docroot/PED/content/PED\_I0\_I3X\_ Guide\_for\_Quitting\_Smoking [accessed 2009 May 19].
- <sup>8</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National diabetes fact sheet: general information and national estimates on diabetes in the United States, 2003. Rev ed. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2004. http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubsfactsheet.htm. [accessed 2009 June 4].
- The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. The cost of care for the uninsured: what do we spend, who pays, and what would full coverage add to medical spending? Issue update, 2004.
- Indian Health Services, Health Services Appropriation History, 1983-1999; Indian Health Service, History of Appropriations 1977-1982, 01/09/82; FY 2001 Approved I.H.S. Budget.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Tobacco Use and Pregnancy. www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/tobac coUsePregnancy/index.htm [accessed 2009 May 2].
- National Institutes of Health. National Library of Medicine. Medline Plus Health Topics. http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/teenagepregnancy.html [accessed 2009 June 14].
- Kogan MD, Martin JA, Alexander GR, Kotelchuck M, Ventura SJ, Frigoletto FD. The changing pattern of prenatal care utilization in the United States, 1981 1995, using different prenatal care indices. JAMA 1998; 279: 1623-1628.
- National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. http://www.nichd.nih.gov/publications/pubs/safe\_sleep\_gen.cfm [accessed 2009 June 4].